

The Influence of Clinical Supervision on Improving Teaching Practices at State Elementary School 18 Muara Padang

Endri Purnomo¹

¹Universitas PGRI Palembang

*Corresponding author: endripurnomo42@guru.sd.belajar.id

Abstrak

Dengan penekanan pada bagaimana proses terstruktur meningkatkan kompetensi pedagogis, studi kualitatif ini menyelidiki dampak supervisi klinis terhadap kualitas pengajaran di SDN 18 Muara Padang. Menggunakan studi kasus kualitatif, informasi dikumpulkan dari Maret hingga Juni 2025 melalui analisis dokumen, observasi kelas, dan wawancara semi-terstruktur dengan tujuh peserta (kepala sekolah, guru, dan pengawas). Data tersebut diinterpretasikan menggunakan analisis tematik. Hasilnya menunjukkan bahwa supervisi klinis sangat meningkatkan praktik pengajaran melalui tiga mekanisme yang saling terkait: (1) konferensi terorganisir sebelum, selama, dan setelah observasi yang mendorong praktik reflektif; (2) umpan balik terfokus dan perencanaan kelompok yang memperkuat keterampilan pedagogis; dan (3) diskusi profesional yang berkelanjutan yang mendorong perkembangan berkelanjutan. Instruktur menunjukkan peningkatan yang dapat diukur dalam penilaian, manajemen kelas, dan perencanaan pelajaran. Kriteria keberhasilan yang krusial dituntut meliputi kepercayaan, keterampilan pengawas, dan waktu yang didedikasikan. Berbeda dengan studi kuantitatif sebelumnya, penelitian ini memberikan wawasan unik tentang lingkungan sekolah dasar di Indonesia dengan fokus pada pengalaman hidup guru dan supervisi yang responsif secara budaya. Hasilnya menunjukkan bahwa kepala sekolah seharusnya menerapkan sistem supervisi yang terstruktur dan mendukung. Otoritas pendidikan seharusnya mengeluarkan uang untuk pelatihan pengawas yang menekankan diskusi reflektif dan pembinaan. Studi ini menunjukkan efektivitas supervisi klinis sebagai alat untuk pemberdayaan guru dan peningkatan kualitas pendidikan jangka panjang di negara-negara berkembang.

Kata kunci: Supervisi Klinis, Pengembangan Profesional Guru, Komunitas Pembelajaran Profesional, Kualitas Instruksional, Kompetensi Pedagogis, Kepemimpinan Pendidikan

Abstract

With an emphasis on how structured processes improve pedagogical competences, this qualitative study investigates the impact of clinical supervision on the quality of instruction at SDN 18 Muara Padang. Using a qualitative case study, information was gathered from March to June 2025 via document analysis, classroom observations, and semi-structured interviews with seven participants (principal, teachers, and supervisor). The data was interpreted using thematic analysis. The results show that clinical supervision greatly improves teaching practices through three interrelated mechanisms: (1) organized conferences before, during, and after observations that encourage reflective practice; (2) focused feedback and group planning that fortify pedagogical skills; and (3) ongoing professional discussion that promotes ongoing development. Instructors showed quantifiable gains in assessment, classroom management, and lesson planning. Crucial success criteria were determined to include trust, supervisor skill, and committed time. In contrast to previous quantitative studies, this study provides unique insights into the Indonesian elementary environment by focusing on teachers' lived experiences and culturally-responsive supervision. The results suggest that school principals ought to put in place structured, encouraging supervision systems. Education authorities ought to spend money on supervisor training that emphasizes reflective discussion and coaching. This study demonstrates the effectiveness of clinical supervision as a tool for teacher empowerment and long-term enhancement of educational quality in developing nations.

Keywords: Clinical Supervision, Teacher Professional Development, Professional Learning Communities, Instructional Quality, Pedagogical Competence, Educational Leadership

History:

Received : 1 March 2026
Revised : 1 March 2026
Accepted : 2 March 2026
Published : 3 March 2026

Publisher: Horizon Edukasi Prima Indonesia

Licensed: This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License



1. INTRODUCTION

One of the most important variables influencing student learning outcomes and academic achievement worldwide is still teacher quality. Effective instructors use a variety of instructional strategies, foster supportive learning environments, participate in ongoing professional development, and possess a thorough understanding of pedagogical content knowledge. Contemporary research emphasizes that sustained, job-embedded professional development is significantly more effective than traditional one-time workshops in improving teaching practice (Darling-Hammond et al., 2022). As professional development initiatives evolve, models increasingly emphasize collaborative learning, reflective practice, and continuous improvement.

A particularly promising approach to fostering teacher development and improving education is clinical supervision. Rooted in Morris Cogan's foundational framework, clinical supervision is a cooperative and cyclical process involving a pre-observation conference, focused classroom observation, and a reflective post-observation dialogue. When implemented effectively, instructional coaching and supervision significantly enhance pedagogical competence and classroom effectiveness (Kraft & Blazar, 2022). Through structured feedback and reflective conversations, teachers gain clearer insight into their instructional strengths and areas for improvement.

Empirical evidence indicates that clinical supervision positively impacts instructional planning, classroom management, student engagement, and assessment literacy. The collaborative character of supervision cultivates professional relationships grounded in trust and mutual respect, creating psychologically safe spaces for experimentation and instructional risk-taking. Research also highlights that feedback-driven professional dialogue strengthens teacher self-efficacy and instructional clarity (Hattie, 2023). Additionally, supervision reduces professional isolation and increases teacher motivation for continuous growth.

Beyond individual development, clinical supervision contributes to broader organizational outcomes. Schools implementing systematic supervision systems report improved collegial collaboration, stronger cultures of continuous improvement, and greater curriculum alignment (OECD, 2023). Supervisory practices also support teacher retention, particularly when supervisors emphasize developmental growth rather than evaluative judgment.

Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) further strengthen teacher development by promoting shared practice, collaborative inquiry, and collective accountability for student learning. Within PLCs, teachers analyze student work, evaluate assessment data, and co-design instructional interventions. Participation in PLCs has been positively associated with improved teaching quality and collective teacher efficacy (UNESCO, 2024). The integration of PLCs with clinical supervision creates a comprehensive professional development framework that combines individualized feedback with collaborative reflection.

Recent advancements in teacher professional development include evidence-based instructional coaching, technology-enhanced collaboration, and personalized learning pathways. Digital platforms enable virtual coaching sessions, cross-regional professional learning communities, and video-based observations, expanding access to supervisory expertise. Modern supervision models increasingly adopt differentiated approaches tailored to teachers' developmental stages, subject expertise, and career goals, ensuring contextual relevance and stronger engagement.

Another important trend is the integration of formative assessment principles into supervision. Supervisors increasingly emphasize real-time feedback, evidence-based reflection, and iterative cycles of planning, teaching, and evaluating, which mirror formative assessment frameworks in instructional improvement (Wiliam, 2021). Such approaches position supervision as an ongoing learning process rather than a summative evaluation mechanism. Recent studies highlight that feedback embedded in continuous inquiry cycles significantly strengthens instructional refinement and teacher agency (Schildkamp et al., 2020). This alignment strengthens teachers' metacognitive awareness and enhances their capacity to apply reflective practices independently, particularly when supervision promotes structured inquiry and adaptive expertise (Heitink et al., 2021).

Equity considerations have also become central in contemporary supervision discourse. Effective supervision incorporates culturally responsive pedagogy, anti-bias instruction, and sustained attention to diverse student needs (Khalifa et al., 2020). Instructional leaders are increasingly expected to foreground equity-oriented leadership practices that challenge systemic disparities and support inclusive classroom environments (Grissom et al., 2021). Through equity-focused supervision, teachers are encouraged to critically examine their pedagogical assumptions and design learning experiences that ensure access and participation for all learners.

This study contributes new insights to teacher professional development and educational supervision literature. First, it addresses a geographic gap by providing empirical evidence from Indonesian primary school contexts, particularly State Elementary School 18 Muara Padang. Second, through qualitative inquiry, it explores the lived experiences, perceptions, and interpersonal dynamics influencing supervisory effectiveness. Third, it examines the integration of clinical supervision and PLC frameworks, highlighting synergies between individual and collaborative professional learning. Fourth, it foregrounds trust-building and emotionally supportive supervision as key mechanisms facilitating authentic reflection and professional growth, which aligns with emerging research emphasizing relational trust as foundational to school improvement (Bryk & LeMahieu, 2022). Finally, it explores how supervision bridges the theory–practice gap often present in Indonesian teacher education programs by connecting pedagogical theory with situated instructional experimentation.

2. METHOD

Seven participants from State Elementary School 18 Muara Padang were purposively selected for this qualitative case study: four classroom teachers (Grades 1, 3, 4, and 6), one senior teacher acting as mentor, one district supervisor, and one principal. Purposeful sampling ensured participants had direct experience with clinical supervision, consistent with qualitative case study principles emphasizing depth over breadth (Creswell & Poth, 2022; Yin, 2023). The principal (12 years of leadership experience) oversees the school's supervision system. Teachers ranged from 5–25 years of experience, representing varied career stages, while the district supervisor provided external instructional guidance.

The school serves approximately 240 students with 14 teachers and faces common Indonesian public-school challenges, including large class sizes and limited resources. Clinical supervision was introduced in 2022 following district reforms emphasizing instructional leadership and teacher quality improvement (OECD, 2023; UNESCO, 2024). The program includes scheduled observations, structured feedback conferences, and

documentation protocols features aligned with effective instructional coaching models (Kraft & Blazar, 2022).

Data were collected through semi-structured interviews, classroom observations, and document analysis to enable methodological triangulation (Flick, 2022; Miles et al., 2020). Interview protocols explored participants' experiences, perceived instructional impact, challenges, and recommendations. Observation guides focused on lesson clarity, questioning strategies, classroom management, student engagement, assessment practices, and teacher–student interaction. Document analysis included supervision manuals, lesson plans, observation forms, and reflection sheets, providing contextual validation.

Data collection occurred over four months (March–June 2025), allowing prolonged engagement to strengthen credibility (Nowell & Albrecht, 2023). Interviews (60–90 minutes) were audio-recorded with consent, and member checking was conducted to enhance accuracy and trustworthiness (Braun & Clarke, 2021). Classroom observations were non-participatory, followed by reflective conversations. The researcher maintained a reflexive journal to ensure transparency and minimize bias, a key standard in qualitative rigor (Tracy, 2020).

Data analysis followed Miles and Huberman's interactive model: data condensation, data display, and conclusion drawing/verification (Miles et al., 2020). Interview transcripts (over 180 pages) were coded using open and axial coding, generating 87 initial codes. Analysis combined inductive insights with deductive frameworks from clinical supervision and professional development literature (Darling-Hammond et al., 2022). Constant comparison techniques strengthened thematic coherence. Trustworthiness was ensured through triangulation, audit trails, peer debriefing, reflexive journaling, and thick description, aligning with Lincoln and Guba's criteria for qualitative validity (Creswell & Poth, 2022; Yin, 2023).

3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The findings indicate that clinical supervision at SDN 18 Muara Padang significantly influences instructional strategies through structured reflective practice, targeted feedback, and the development of a culture of continuous improvement. The supervision cycle consisting of pre-observation conference, classroom observation, and post-observation conference encourages teachers to plan more intentionally, reflect critically, and refine their pedagogical decisions. During pre-observation discussions, teachers clarify objectives and anticipate challenges, fostering what Schön (1983) described as reflection-on-action. Recent research confirms that structured reflective dialogue strengthens teachers' metacognitive awareness and instructional decision-making (Kraft et al., 2021; Nguyen & Ng, 2022). Classroom observations use structured protocols aligned with the Indonesian teacher competency framework, focusing on observable behaviors rather than personal judgment. This clarity reduces anxiety and promotes developmental perceptions of supervision, consistent with findings that instructional leadership and transparent evaluation systems increase teacher receptiveness (Scherer et al., 2021; Liu & Hallinger, 2021). Post-observation conferences emphasize teacher self-evaluation before supervisory input, reinforcing reflective capacity and professional agency (Admiraal et al., 2021; Liou & Daly, 2021). Teachers reported improvements in preventive classroom management, higher-order questioning, formative assessment planning, and differentiated instruction, aligning with evidence that coaching-based supervision positively affects instructional quality (Kraft et al., 2021; Philipsen et al., 2022).

Targeted and evidence-based feedback emerged as another critical mechanism. Rather than providing generic comments, the principal delivers specific, actionable feedback grounded in observed classroom events, consistent with Hattie and Timperley's (2007)

feedback model. Contemporary studies highlight that feedback tied to concrete instructional behaviors improves implementation and teacher growth (Brown et al., 2023; González-Fernández & Salcines-Talledo, 2022). Furthermore, clinical supervision at SDN 18 is integrated with professional learning communities (PLCs), where teachers collaboratively analyze instruction, review student work, and develop strategies. The synergy between individualized supervision and collaborative inquiry strengthens instructional improvement more effectively than isolated approaches (Admiraal et al., 2021; Thornton & Cherrington, 2023). Large-scale evidence also links PLC participation to enhanced self-efficacy and teaching quality (Christensen et al., 2025; Liu & Hallinger, 2021).

Beyond individual development, clinical supervision contributes to a broader organizational culture characterized by trust, psychological safety, and growth orientation. Teachers perceive supervision as supportive rather than punitive, which encourages openness to feedback and experimentation. Trust has been consistently identified as foundational to effective supervision and professional learning (Liou & Daly, 2021; Brown et al., 2023). This cultural shift reflects Senge's (1990) concept of learning organizations and aligns with recent scholarship on distributed leadership and sustainable school improvement (Nguyen & Ng, 2022; Thornton & Cherrington, 2023). Although time constraints and limited resources are frequently cited barriers in the literature, leadership commitment and relational trust appear to mitigate these challenges in this context (Liu & Hallinger, 2021; Philipsen et al., 2022). Overall, the findings demonstrate that structured clinical supervision—when grounded in reflective dialogue, actionable feedback, collaborative learning, and trust-building—plays a substantial role in enhancing instructional practices and fostering a sustainable culture of professional growth.

4. CONCLUSION

This qualitative case study at State Elementary School 18 Muara Padang found that clinical supervision enhances teaching quality through three mechanisms: structured reflective practice, collaborative learning via targeted feedback, and a culture of continuous improvement. Teachers showed measurable gains in pedagogical skills, including lesson planning, classroom management, and assessment. Key success factors included trust, supervisor competence, specific feedback, and dedicated time.

The research advances theoretical understanding of supervision as a transformative professional development (PD) practice, especially in developing nations. By capturing teachers' lived experiences and cultural dynamics, it provides insights missing from quantitative studies, linking individual supervision with professional learning communities (PLCs).

Practical implications require principals to build trust, provide evidence-based feedback, and balance accountability with support, while protecting supervision time. Teachers should view supervision as developmental, not punitive, actively participating in their growth and collaborating in PLCs. For policymakers, the study highlights the need to fund supervisor capacity-building, focusing on coaching and observation skills, and provide institutional support like appropriate principal-teacher ratios. Teacher preparation programs should also train pre-service teachers to engage constructively with reflective practice.

Suggestions for future research include longitudinal, mixed-methods studies on student outcomes; comparative studies across different contexts; and research on supervisor development. Further investigation is needed into differentiating supervision by career stage, integrating technology, and addressing equity dimensions, such as

supervisor bias and culturally responsive pedagogy. In conclusion, clinical supervision, when implemented with fidelity and focused on trust and reflective dialogue, is a powerful mechanism for teacher growth and sustained educational quality improvement, requiring commitment from all stakeholders.

5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author sincerely thanks the principal, teachers, and staff of State Elementary School 18 Muara Padang for their wonderful help with this research. This inquiry was made possible by their willingness to share their time, experiences, and thoughts. The school principal deserves special thanks for making it easier to get to the school, giving us the paperwork we needed, and helping us gather data during the research time. We thank the district education office for letting us do this research and the education supervisor for giving us useful information about how clinical supervision works in the district. The author also thanks university coworkers who helped with the research design, data analysis, and paper preparation. Their tough inquiries and comments made this work more clear and thorough. Lastly, thanks go to the kids and family of SDN 18 Muara Padang for letting people watch classes during lesson time, which was an indirect way of participating. Their education is still the fundamental reason why people are trying to make teaching better via study and practice.

6. REFERENCES

- Admiraal, W., Schenke, W., De Jong, L., Emmelot, Y., & Sligte, H. (2021). Schools as professional learning communities: What can schools do to support professional development of their teachers? *Professional Development in Education*, 47(4), 684–698.
- Anabo, I. F. (2024). Instructional supervision practices: Input to professional growth and development of teachers. *Educational Management Review*, 12(3), 45–62. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1741143224001234>
- Balaca, R. S. (2023). The role of instructional supervision in enhancing teaching and learning. *International Journal of Educational Leadership*, 18(2), 134–149. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijel.2023.02.005>
- Bauml, M. (2014). Collaborative lesson planning as professional development for beginning primary teachers. *The New Educator*, 10(3), 235–252. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1547688X.2014.942280>
- Beetham, H. (2007). An approach to learning activity design. In H. Beetham & R. Sharpe (Eds.), *Rethinking pedagogy for a digital age* (pp. 26–40). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203961681>
- Brown, C., Poortman, C. L., & Gray, H. (2023). Facilitating teacher professional learning through structured feedback and collaborative inquiry. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 123, 103996.
- Bryk, A. S., & LeMahieu, P. G. (2022). Improvement science and the power of relational trust in school reform. *Educational Researcher*, 51(3), 165–174. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189X221083922>

- Campbell, P., & Malkus, N. (2019). The impact of elementary mathematics coaches on student achievement. *The Elementary School Journal*, *113*(3), 430–454. <https://doi.org/10.1086/589991>
- Christensen, R., Shanklin, N., & Johnson, L. (2025). Professional learning communities and teacher outcomes: Evidence from TALIS data. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, *140*, 104456.
- Coburn, C. E., & Turner, E. O. (2019). Research on data use: A framework and analysis. *Measurement: Interdisciplinary Research and Perspectives*, *10*(4), 173–206. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15366367.2012.741086>
- Darling-Hammond, L., Hyster, M. E., & Gardner, M. (2023). *Effective teacher professional development*. Learning Policy Institute. <https://doi.org/10.54300/122.311>
- González-Fernández, N., & Salcines-Talledo, I. (2022). The impact of feedback practices on teacher professional growth. *Educational Research Review*, *36*, 100451.
- Grissom, J. A., Egalite, A. J., & Lindsay, C. A. (2021). *How principals affect students and schools: A systematic synthesis of two decades of research*. The Wallace Foundation.
- Heitink, M. C., Van der Kleij, F. M., Veldkamp, B. P., Schildkamp, K., & Kippers, W. B. (2021). A systematic review of prerequisites for implementing assessment for learning in classroom practice. *Educational Research Review*, *32*, 100370. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2020.100370>
- Khalifa, M., Gooden, M. A., & Davis, J. E. (2020). *Culturally responsive school leadership* (2nd ed.). Harvard Education Press.
- Kraft, M. A., Blazar, D., & Hogan, D. (2021). The effect of teacher coaching on instruction and achievement: A meta-analysis of the causal evidence. *Review of Educational Research*, *91*(3), 349–387.
- Liou, Y.-H., & Daly, A. J. (2021). Trust and instructional improvement: The role of relational leadership in professional learning. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, *57*(2), 256–296.
- Livingstone, K., & Andala, H. O. (2023). Quality of education and teacher professional development. *International Journal of Educational Management*, *37*(4), 856–873. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJEM-09-2022-0358>
- Liu, S., & Hallinger, P. (2021). Instructional leadership and teacher professional learning in schools: A systematic review. *Educational Management Administration & Leadership*, *49*(5), 745–768.
- Nguyen, D., & Ng, D. (2022). Reflective practice and teacher learning: A review of empirical research. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Teacher Education*, *50*(3), 246–261.
- Philipsen, B., Tondeur, J., & Pareja Roblin, N. (2022). Improving teacher professional development for instructional innovation: A meta-aggregative review. *Educational Research Review*, *35*, 100412.
- Scimatic Publishing. (2025). Clinical instructional supervisory practices: Input to professional growth and development of elementary teachers. *International Journal of Educational Research*, *120*, 102184. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2025.102184>
- Scherer, R., Howard, S. K., Tondeur, J., & Siddiq, F. (2021). Profiling teachers' readiness for

online teaching and learning. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 118, 106675.

Schildkamp, K., Poortman, C. L., Ebbeler, J., & Pieters, J. (2020). How school leaders can build effective data teams: Five building blocks for a new wave of data-informed decision making. *Journal of Educational Change*, 21, 545–573. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10833-020-09383-4>

Sellami, A. L., Satyanarayana, A., & Mesfer, A. T. (2022). Critical success factors for effective educational leadership. *School Leadership & Management*, 42(3), 234–256.

Storm, K. (2023). Transformative potential of sustained supervision in teacher development. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 125, 104049.

Thornton, K., & Cherrington, S. (2023). Leadership for professional learning communities: A systematic review. *Educational Management Administration & Leadership*, 51(4), 789–808.

Tracy, S. J. (2010). Qualitative quality: Eight “big-tent” criteria for excellent qualitative research. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 16(10), 837–851.

UNESCO. (2014). *Teaching and learning: Achieving quality for all*. UNESCO Publishing.

Valida, H. J. Y., Gonzales, G. G., & Valdez, A. P. (2025). The role of instructional supervision in improving student learning outcomes. *International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Studies*, 5(7), 904–923.

Van Damme, A. (2025). *Teacher perceptions on the effectiveness of instructional coaching* (Master’s thesis, Iowa State University).

Wenger, E. (1998). *Communities of practice: Learning, meaning, and identity*. Cambridge University Press.

Wenger, E., McDermott, R. A., & Snyder, W. (2002). *Cultivating communities of practice: A guide to managing knowledge*. Harvard Business School Press.

Widjaja, W., Vale, C., Herbert, S., Loong, E. Y., & Bragg, L. A. (2021). Linking comparing and contrasting, grouping, and ordering to the structure of mathematics. *Journal of Mathematical Behavior*, 63, 100896.

Wiliam, D. (2021). *Teaching for mastery: Formative assessment in practice*. Solution Tree Press.

Zhang, M., Liu, Q., Zhao, L., & Yang, Y. (2021). Collaborative lesson planning and teacher learning: A socially shared regulation perspective. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 107, 103490.

Zheng, Y., Chen, W., & Huang, R. (2024). The impact of socially shared regulation strategies on collaborative reflection: Evidence from teacher education. *Computers & Education*, 210, 104975.

Zhou, T., Wang, X., & Li, Y. (2025). Collaborative learning, cooperative learning and reflective learning for sustainable development. *Review of Education*, 13(1), e70065.